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What is an HCP/NCCP?

- Habitat Conservation Plan/Natural Community Conservation Plan
- Federal/State mechanism to resolve conflicts between threatened and endangered species and development
- Plan to conserve species and habitats in exchange for permits to “take” threatened or endangered species
- What is “take”?
  - Under the Endangered Species Act (ESA), “take” is defined as “an action or attempt to hunt, harm, harass, pursue, shoot, wound, capture, kill, trap, or collect a species.”
Santa Clara Valley HCP/NCCP Origins

- USFWS Sect. 7 Biological Opinion (2001) requires regional HCP as condition of approval for
  - Highway 101 widening (San Jose to Morgan Hill)
  - Bailey Avenue interchange
  - Highway 85/101 interchange
  - Coyote Valley Research Park
- Original Local Partners bound by MOU (June 2004)
  - Santa Clara County
  - City of San Jose
  - Santa Clara Valley Water District
  - Santa Clara Valley Transportation Authority
- New Partners added in 2005
  - City of Gilroy
  - City of Morgan Hill
- NCCP Planning Agreement signed by all October 2005
Study Area

- SCV HCP/NCCP Boundary based on
  - Watershed boundaries (Coyote, Uvas/Llagas/Pacheco)
  - Serpentine and Bay checkerspot butterfly habitat
  - Jurisdictional boundaries (San Jose, County)
  - Land use boundaries (County Parks)
- 520,000 acres
## Endangered Species Permit Process

### Current Process

- Costly surveys & time consuming, uncertain agency negotiations
- Mitigation requirements & costs continue to increase over time
- Project by project basis = small, unconnected mitigation w/ inconsistent management & monitoring
- CDFG & US FWS separately issue or deny permits
- Project proponent implements costly on- or off-site mitigation and monitoring on-site before and during construction

### Process With HCP/NCCP

- Clearly defined, limited and inexpensive survey requirements
- Project proponent incorporates pre-defined design elements when project occurs adjacent to open space
- Local jurisdiction processes application under the HCP/NCCP (CDFG & USFWS is never contacted)
- Pre-defined HCP/NCCP fee based on formulas (e.g. acres of impact) or land in lieu of fee option
- Project proponent implements BMPs, design measures, and limited pre-construction surveys and monitoring for covered species that may occur
What the HCP/NCCP Will Do

- **Provide permits** from USFWS, NMFS, and CDFG for “take” of listed species to Local Partners

- **Streamline projects.** Local Partner jurisdictions will extend this take authorization to applicants who meet requirements of HCP/NCCP

- **Improve mitigation.** Provide large-scale habitat and species conservation in areas of high biological value—avoid project-by-project approach

- **Provide new money.** Attract new sources of state and federal funding for conservation in Santa Clara County
What the HCP/NCCP Will Not Do

- Address all endangered species needs in the County
- Eliminate all other permitting requirements
  - Projects must still comply with CA Environmental Quality Act (CEQA) and other local, state and federal laws
- Solve all environmental conflicts in the County
  - Water quality
  - Viewsheds
  - Riparian setbacks
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Interest group</th>
<th>Benefit</th>
<th>Concern</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Development</td>
<td>Certainty of process, reduced permit costs, faster approval</td>
<td>May limit land use, new fees, long process</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Landowner/Ag</td>
<td>Ag/ranchland preservation, new market for open space</td>
<td>May restrict land management, perception of more govt regs</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Environmental</td>
<td>Large habitat preserves, controls urban sprawl, ongoing monitoring &amp; adaptive management</td>
<td>Facilitates development, may be net loss of habitat</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Local Agencies</td>
<td>Local control of ESA issues, streamline approval process for public projects and O&amp;M, new funding sources</td>
<td>Long, staff intensive process, responsible for implementing plan</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>General Public</td>
<td>More open space, more recreational opportunities</td>
<td>Perception of new fee, high cost to develop and implement plan</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Santa Clara Valley Habitat Conservation Plan/Natural Community Conservation Plan*
Benefits to Santa Clara County

- Project proponents have streamlined permitting process
- Local control over endangered species permit
- Creates more open space opportunities within the county
- Help implement County General Plan
- Protects watersheds
## HCP/NCCP Schedule and Phases

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Phase</th>
<th>Major Deliverables</th>
<th>Schedule</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
| Phase 1: Baseline Data       | • Covered species and activities  
                              | • Land cover and land use maps  
                              | • Existing conditions in study area | July 2005 - June 2006 (1 year) |
| Phase 2: Conservation        | • 3 preliminary draft alternatives for conservation strategy  
                              | • Draft selected conservation strategy | July 2006 – Aug. 2007 (14 months) |
| Phase 3: Draft Documents     | • 1<sup>st</sup> Administrative Draft HCP/NCCP  
                              | • 2<sup>nd</sup> Administrative Draft HCP/NCCP  
                              | • Public Draft HCP/NCCP | Sept. 2007 – Aug. 2008 (1 year) |
| Phase 4: Permit Processing   | • Final HCP/NCCP and Permits  
                              | • Assistance with IA and Permitting | Sept. 2008 to Jan. 2009 (5 months) |
Covered Species

Currently recommend 29 species be covered by Plan:
14 wildlife species

- Townsend’s big-eared bat
- Western pond turtle
- San Joaquin kit fox
- Steelhead trout
- California red-legged frog
- Western burrowing owl
- Golden eagle
- Tricolored blackbird
- Bay checkerspot butterfly
- California tiger salamander
- Western pond turtle
- San Joaquin kit fox
- Steelhead trout
- California red-legged frog
- Western burrowing owl
- Golden eagle
- Tricolored blackbird
- Bay checkerspot butterfly
- California tiger salamander

Santa Clara Valley Habitat Conservation Plan/Natural Community Conservation Plan
Covered Species

15 plant species

Most beautiful jewelflower
Santa Clara Valley dudleya
Smooth lessingia
Fragrant fritillary
Hall’s bush mallow
Coyote ceanothus
Mt. Hamilton thistle
Chaparral harebell
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Community Meeting – May 10, 2007

- Learn more about the HCP/NCCP
- Comment on key habitat conservation planning issues such as conservation strategies, covered species and covered activities on

    Thursday, May 10, 2007

    Morgan Hill Community and Cultural Center
    17000 Monterey Road, Morgan Hill
    6:30pm Open House
    7:00pm – 8:30pm Presentation and Q&A
Questions & Answers

Contact Information
Ken Schreiber or Ranu Aggarwal
Santa Clara Valley HCP/NCCP Program
East Wing, 7th Floor
County Government Center
Ken (408) 299-5789
Ranu (408) 299-5795

ken.schreiber@pln.sccgov.org
ranu.aggarwal@pln.sccgov.org
www.scv-habitatplan.org